

	<p>Such a paper would clearly be targeted at scientists. The website content referred to also exists in paper version.</p> <p>Decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - b) This is an announcement for later on. <p><u>Item 6. Post-EFORWOOD arrangements and activities</u></p> <p>Decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regarding post-EFORWOOD activities in general, RP urged KR and DMG to come back with further recommendations and to distribute an inquiry to partners asking for activities planned and the current status of such planned activities. - When the formal amendments have been added by GR to the Amendment to the Consortium Agreement; KR to formulate a letter to all partners in which he asks them to sign the Consortium Agreement and to invite partners to be members of TMUG on conditions set by the Memorandum of Understanding. <p>The rest of the items of last meeting's minutes had either already been attended to or will be taken up during the current meeting.</p>	<p>KR</p> <p>KR and DMG</p> <p>KR, GR, all partners</p>
<p>3.</p>	<p>Present status concerning ToSIA analyses</p> <p><u>Update of the present situation</u></p> <p>ML reported that the current work is focused on the European chain. Most partners have, of course, stopped working but EFI (Diana et al) and some others are still very much active. Data is being checked, and the 2005 data are expected to be finalized by the end of this week.</p> <p>Regarding the Case Studies, there is no longer any work on data collection. ALUFR (Janine) and FVA (Franka) are still making some adjustments but everything is more or less ready by now. IFER (Martina) is not active. Data bases are now handled at EFI.</p> <p>KR said that publishable results for the final report are needed quite soon. He, however, reminded partners that we need to be very careful with what results we present and how they are interpreted. The data will be subject of a lot of external questions. ML replied that clear data will be presented and possible discussions will be presented in the deliverables.</p> <p>Decision:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Regarding input from FCBA on responsible organizations for Iberian data, JMC will speak to Anne Varet. 	<p>JMC</p>
<p>4.</p>	<p>Final reporting - project results</p> <p><u>Periodic activity and management reports</u></p> <p>All Modules had delivered Templates 1 and 3. KR said it is still possible to make improvements to the texts.</p> <p><u>Updated situation concerning Ds and PDs</u></p> <p>KR reported that regarding the Deliverables, most is in order; many Deliverables are close to finalization. KR is about to send a status Deliverables list to the Project Officer, which will be forwarded to the Evaluators. This list may be updated later. There will be a Final Evaluation of EFORWOOD in Brussels on March 17-18, 2010 (presentations and discussions with EFORWOOD representatives on March 17 and the evaluators' report writing on March 18) and the evaluators expect most</p>	<p>M-leaders</p>

<p>material to be delivered by March 5.</p> <p>Decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - M5 will deliver the rest of their Deliverables in Week 8. - For still not completely finalized Deliverables, KR urged all Modules to send the latest draft to him and to GR by <u>February 26</u> so that they can be published as drafts on the Portal for the evaluators. These draft versions will be exchanged for the final versions by the Coordinator as soon as the final version appears. - M1 Deliverables: 1.1.1 was not planned to be updated further; 1.4.9 will be merged with 1.4.6, which KR accepted. All M1 Deliverables will be finalized by February 26, 2010. - M2 Deliverables: 2.3.6 and 2.3.7 will be delayed for a week. - M3 Deliverables: 3.0.6 to be put together into one file within a week. - M4 Deliverables: the Year 4 reports to be put in two Deliverables, 4.0.7 and 4.0.8. - M5 Deliverables: Missing reports to be delivered next week (there are draft versions already). 5.0.7 now with PP. - M6 Deliverables: 6.2.4 (the demo version of ToSIA) will be ready in draft form for the evaluators and will be updated before the ultimate end of the project. <p><u>The Publishable Final report – current situation and timetable</u> This report was gone through in detail. Franka Brüchert is working on the Baden-Württemberg Case Study. The Iberian Case Study still lacks recommendations. RP stated that the EU-FWC processes depend on the results, maybe there is also a data problem. The validation of the data is presently being done by Holger Schweinle (VTi).</p> <p>The journalist has started to go through the texts but will not be finished until end of April.</p> <p>Decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Statements from stakeholders/users: DMG to return to Maria Gafo of the European Commission and ask for a general statement on Commission views on SIA. - Knowledge transfer, Dissemination and Stakeholder Interactions: Summary from Template 2 to be introduced. - References to be minimized in the text but to be listed under “More to read” at the end. - The scenario texts are lacking. Eric Arets to produce a text. - M2 highlights will be delivered next week. - JF to provide KR with a more recent text for page 39. - Page 48: CO soon to make updates regarding Iberia results (with pictures). <p><u>EJFOR – Special issue EFORWOOD – current situation</u> KR commented the list of manuscripts for the EJFR Special issue. He had hoped for twice as many manuscripts, a better focus on the central EFORWOOD issues and coverage of CBA and MCA. He asked what could be done. There will also be additional contribution by ML and possibly RP. The manuscripts by Filip Aggestam and Vasileios Drosos had been sent to reviewers last week by PP.</p> <p>Decisions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - DMG to go back personally to 3-4 partners and give them more 	<p>CO M-leaders</p> <p>KR and GR</p> <p>ML</p> <p>JMC GB HW</p> <p>CO</p> <p>DMG</p> <p>DMG</p> <p>KR, DMG</p> <p>All</p> <p>ML JMC JF CO</p> <p>DMG</p>
---	--

	time. In addition to that he would distribute a general e-mail to all other partners.	
5.	<p>Final evaluation March 17 <u>Program and EFORWOOD presentations</u> KR said we are still waiting for a detailed programme from the Project Officer. The meeting will start at 09.00 on March 17 and last for the whole day. The evaluators will make their report on the following day.</p> <p><i>Decisions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - KR will draft a proposal of how to divide tasks and what to expect by everybody at the evaluation. All involved were encouraged to come back with comments and suggestions. 	KR
6.	<p>TMUG MoU <u>Discussion and approval of conditions for use of ToSIA data, especially Annex 2 of the MoU</u> KR said the intention is to treat all data providers in the same way. An important question is how to handle licensing of data to others than the data providers. It must be kept in mind that data will be used also separately, without connection with ToSIA. KR hoped not to have to go back to all data providers but hoped for standard licence agreements, which would be much easier to handle. The problems would occur when users are unknown or not trusted. RP advocated that standard licence agreements would be recommended as long as nobody protests. ML said that EFI, in the capacity of Secretariat, would like to avoid risks and heavy administration. There had been some input from a lawyer who EFI had contacted and his conclusions will be included in next draft. VTT lawyers will also be asked to comment on the draft. CO advocated simplicity so as to avoid interpretations by lawyers.</p> <p><i>Decisions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The IP Board members basically agreed with the draft text. - Regarding Annex 2, it was agreed that there is, again, a need for a revision of the bullet point list, improving the English, introducing expressions like “the designated body to run TMUG” instead of “EFI”. - It was agreed that the TMUG MoU including its updated annexes should be sent to all EFORWOOD partners by KR for information and approval. - According to EFI, the required membership fee would be low, some 200-300 Euro, if the organisation is handled efficiently. - A separate list of contact persons should be established for the EFI administration. 	KR, M-leaders KR, DMG KR RP/ML KR
7.	<p>Next IPB meetings <i>Decisions:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - A new meeting was decided for March 25 at 14.00 CET (telephone conference). (GB will not be available but JF.) - A preliminary meeting was reserved for May 27 at 13.00. 	
8.	<p>Any other business <u>EFORWOOD Training Survey</u> DMG had received 19 responses by the EFORWOOD partners to the survey.</p> <p><i>Decision:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - To arrange an EFORWOOD-internal training (face-to-face 	DMG/ML

	workshop) in May or later, if possible at the same time as the launching of the TMUG.	
9.	XXIII IUFRO World Congress, Seoul, 23-28 August 2010 <u>EFORWOOD representation in Technical Session E05</u> KR thanked JMC and Margarida Tomé for all their work to have EFORWOOD well covered in Technical Session E05.	

Date as above.



Gunilla Rodfors



Kaj Rosén